

On gaps between zeros of the Riemann zeta-function

Shaoji Feng¹ and XiaoSheng Wu²

Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing
100190, P. R. China

Abstract

Assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, we show that infinitely often consecutive non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta-function differ by at least 2.7327 times the average spacing and infinitely often they differ by at most 0.5154 times the average spacing.

1 Introduction

Let $\zeta(s)$ denote the Riemann zeta-function. We denote the non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ as $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$. Let $\gamma \leq \gamma'$ denote consecutive ordinates of the zeros of $\zeta(s)$. The von Mangoldt formulate (see [20]) gives

$$N(T) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \frac{T}{2\pi e} + O(\log T),$$

where $N(T)$ is the number of zeros of $\zeta(s)$, $s = \sigma + it$ in the rectangle $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T$. Hence, the average size of $\gamma' - \gamma$ is $2\pi / \log T$. Let

$$\lambda = \limsup(\gamma' - \gamma) \frac{\log \gamma}{2\pi}$$

and

$$\mu = \liminf(\gamma' - \gamma) \frac{\log \gamma}{2\pi},$$

where γ runs over all the ordinates of the zeros of the $\zeta(s)$. In [13], Montgomery suggested that there exists arbitrarily large and small gaps between consecutive zeros of $\zeta(s)$. That is to say $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda = +\infty$.

Understanding the vertical distribution of the zeros of the zeta-function is very important for a number of reasons. One reason, In particular, in [5] also [15], it was pointed out that spacing of the zeros of the zeta-function connected with the class number problem for imaginary quadratic fields. Also, the gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function relate to the zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta-function near the critical line, see [6],[8],[11],[19],[21].

Unconditionally, in 1946, it's remarked by selberg [18] that $\mu < 1 < \lambda$. In 2005, by making use of the Wirtinger's inequality and the asymptotic formulae of the fourth mixed moments of the zeta-function and its derivative, R. R. Hall [10] proved that $\lambda > 2.6306$. This result is even better than what was previously known assuming RH.

¹fsj@amss.ac.cn

²shengsheng85426@163.com

Assuming RH, let T be large and $K = T(\log T)^{-2}$. Further, let

$$h(c) = c - \frac{\operatorname{Re}(\sum_{nk \leq K} a_k \overline{a_{nk}} g_c(n) \Lambda(n) n^{-1/2})}{\sum_{k \leq K} |a_k|^2}$$

where

$$g_c(n) = \frac{2 \sin(\pi c \frac{\log n}{\log T})}{\pi \log n}$$

and Λ is the von Mangoldt's function. On RH, by an argument using the Guinand-weil explicit formula, Montgomery and Odlyzko [14], in 1981, showed that if $h(c) < 1$ for some choice of c and $\{a_n\}$, then $\lambda \geq c$, and if $h(c) > 1$ for some choice of c and $\{a_n\}$, then $\mu \leq c$. They chose the coefficients

$$a_k = \frac{1}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f\left(\frac{\log k}{\log K}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = \frac{\lambda(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f\left(\frac{\log k}{\log K}\right)$$

where f is a continuous function of bounded variation, and $\lambda(k)$, the Liouville function, equals $(-1)^{\Omega(k)}$; here, $\Omega(k)$ denotes the total number of primes of k . Then they obtain $\lambda > 1.9799$ and $\mu < 0.5179$ by optimizing over such functions f .

In 1982, by a different method, Mueller [16] showed that $\lambda \geq 1.9$ which is an immediate consequence of a mean value theorem of Gonek [9].

Montgomery and Odlyzko's results were soon improved by Conrey, Ghosh and Gonek [3] in 1984. In [3], Conrey, Ghosh and Gonek altered the coefficients to

$$a_k = \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = \frac{\lambda(k) d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where $d_r(k)$ is a multiplicative function and for a prime p ,

$$d_r(p^m) = \frac{\Gamma(m+r)}{\Gamma(r)m!}.$$

By the choices $r = 2.2$ and $r = 1.1$ respectively, they improved the values to $\lambda > 2.337$ and $\mu < 0.5172$.

Recently, H. M. Bui, M. B. Milinovich and N. Ng [2] combined the coefficients of [14] and [3] and got the coefficients

$$a_k = \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f\left(\frac{\log k}{\log K}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = \frac{\lambda(k) d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f\left(\frac{\log k}{\log K}\right).$$

By optimizing over both f and r , they obtain $\lambda > 2.69$ and $\mu < 0.5155$.

There are still some results about λ on the condition of GRH. Conrey, Ghosh and Gonek [4] combined the ideas of Mueller [16] and [3] and then proved $\lambda > 2.68$, also, by a general mollifier, N. Ng [17] improved the result to $\lambda > 3$. Still, by a extension of the mollifier of N. Ng, H. M. Bui [1] proved $\lambda > 3.0155$.

The works of [3],[2] are based on the idea of [14]. Our work is still based on this, and the results are

Theorem 1.1 If the Riemann Hypothesis is true, then $\lambda > 2.7327$ and $\mu < 0.5154$.
In order to prove Theorem 1.1, we choose the coefficients

$$a_k = \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) + \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)$$

and

$$a_k = \frac{\lambda(k) d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) + \frac{\lambda(k) d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right),$$

where f_1, f_2 are some polynomials which will be specified later.

We now give some further insight into the coefficients used here. We note $\sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \log p_1 \log p_2$ approximates the coefficient of $\frac{\zeta'(s)^2}{\zeta(s)}$ and $\lambda(k) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \log p_1 \log p_2$ approximates the coefficient of $\frac{\zeta(2s) \zeta'(s)^2}{\zeta(s)^3}$. Hence, The choices of the coefficients used here make $A(t) = \sum_{k \leq K} a_k k^{-it}$ behave like a differential polynomial of $\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)^r$ and $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ and a differential polynomial of $\zeta(1 + 2it)^r / \zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)^r$ and $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\frac{1}{2} + it)$ respectively.

The coefficients we choose here are motivated by Feng [7]. In [7], the mollifier

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(s) = & \sum_{k \leq y} \frac{\mu(k)}{k^{\frac{R}{\log T} + s}} \left(f_1\left(\frac{\log y/k}{\log K}\right) + f_2\left(\frac{\log y/k}{\log y}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 y} \right. \\ & + f_3\left(\frac{\log y/k}{\log y}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | k} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{\log^3 y} + \dots \\ & \left. + f_I\left(\frac{\log y/k}{\log y}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_I | k} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \dots \log p_I}{\log^I y} \right), \end{aligned}$$

was introduced to improve the result on lower bound of the proportion of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function on the critical line.

2 Some lemmas

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need the following lemmas

Lemma 2.1 (Mertens Theorem).

$$\sum_{p \leq y} \frac{\log p}{p} = \log y + O(1).$$

Lemma 2.2 (Levinson [12]).

$$\sum_{p|j} \frac{\log p}{p} = O(\log \log j).$$

Lemma 2.3 For fixed $r \geq 1$,

$$\sum_{k \leq x} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} = A_r (\log x)^{r^2} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1})$$

uniformly for $x \leq T$.

Lemma 2.4 Let $a_i = 1, 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and f is a continuous function, $D \geq 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_1^D \frac{\log^{a_1-1} x_1}{x_1} dx_1 \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1}} \frac{\log^{a_2-1} x_2}{x_2} dx_2 \cdots \int_1^{\frac{D}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m}} \frac{f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m x)}{x} dx \\ &= \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (a_i - 1)!}{(\sum_{i=1}^m a_i)!} \int_1^D \frac{f(x) \log^{\sum_{i=1}^m a_i} x}{x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1-Lemma 2.3 are familiar results and Lemma 2.4 is the Lemma 9 of Feng [7].

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we prove the Theorem 1.1. At first, we give a lower bound for λ by evaluating $h(c)$ with the coefficient

$$a_k = \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) + \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right),$$

where $r \geq 1$ and f_1, f_2 are polynomials. Employing this coefficient, we have the denominator in the ratio of sums in the definition of $h(c)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \leq K} |a_k|^2 &= \sum_{k \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)^2 \\ &+ 2 \sum_{k \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} \\ &+ \sum_{k \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)^2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} \\ &\times \sum_{q_1 q_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(q_1 q_2) \log q_1 \log q_2}{\log^2 K} \\ &= D_1 + D_2 + D_3 \end{aligned}$$

with obvious meanings. By Abel summation, Lemma 2.3 and recalling that $K = T(\log T)^{-2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_1 &= A_r r^2 \int_1^K f_1\left(\frac{\log K/x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= A_r r^2 (\log K)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r^2-1} f_1(u)^2 du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= A_r r^2 (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r^2-1} f_1(u)^2 du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}), \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is arbitrary and the constant of O is decided by r , ϵ and f_1 . Substituting k with $p_1 p_2 k_0$, we find that

$$D_2 = 2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 k_0 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 d_r(p_1 p_2 k_0)^2}{p_1 p_2 k_0 \log^2 K} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k_0}{\log K}\right) f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k_0}{\log K}\right).$$

For $d_r(n) = O(n^\epsilon)$ with arbitrary $\epsilon \geq 0$,

$$\sum_{i=2} \sum_{p^i \leq x} \frac{d_r(p^i) \log p}{p^i} \ll \sum_{p \leq x} \log p \sum_{i=2} \frac{1}{p^{i(1-\epsilon)}} = O(1).$$

Then we note the terms for which $(k_0, p_1 p_2) \neq 1$ contribute at most $O((\log T)^{r^2-1})$ in D_2 . We will also face similar problems in the remainder of the article and not point out any more. By this and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_2 &= \frac{2r^4}{\log^2 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{p_1 p_2} \sum_{k_0 \leq K/p_1 p_2} \frac{d_r(k_0)^2}{k_0} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k_0}{\log K}\right) \\
&\quad \times f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k_0}{\log K}\right) + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{2A_r r^6}{\log^2 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{p_1 p_2} \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 x}{\log K}\right) \\
&\quad \times f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 x}{\log K}\right) (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let f be a continuous function bounded on $[1, K]$, $i \geq 2$ and $a_m \geq 1$ are integers for $1 \leq m \leq i$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_i} p_i}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_{i-1}} p_{i-1}}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\substack{p_i \leq K/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} \\ (p_i, p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1})=1}} \frac{\log^{a_i} p_i}{p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_{i-1}} p_{i-1}}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_i \leq K/p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \frac{\log^{a_i} p_i}{p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \\
&\quad + \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_{i-1}} p_{i-1}}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_i | p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \frac{\log^{a_i} p_i}{p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_{i-1}} p_{i-1}}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_i | p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \frac{\log^{a_i} p_i}{p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \\
&\ll \prod_{m=1}^{i-1} \sum_{p \leq K} \frac{\log^{a_m} p}{p} \log^{a_i-1} K \log \log K = O((\log K)^{\sum_{m=1}^i a_m - 1 + \epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 and Able summation,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_i} p_i}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \\
&= \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_{i-1}} p_{i-1}}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1}}} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{i-1} x) \log^{a_i-1} x \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log K)^{\sum_{m=1}^i a_m - 1 + \epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

We can treat p_{i-1} the same to p_i , then by induction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \log^{a_1} p_1 \log^{a_2} p_2 \cdots \log^{a_i} p_i}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i} f(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_i) \\ &= \int_1^K \log^{a_1-1} x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} \log^{a_2-1} x_2 \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \cdots \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{i-1}}} f(x_1 x_2 \cdots x_i) \log^{a_i-1} x_i \frac{dx_i}{x_i} \\ &+ O((\log K)^{\sum_{m=1}^i a_m - 1 + \epsilon}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the constant of O is decided by f, ϵ . Employing this with $i = 2$ and

$$f = \frac{1}{(\log K)^{r^2}} \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K / p_1 p_2 x}{\log K}\right) f_2\left(\frac{\log K / p_1 p_2 x}{\log K}\right) (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x},$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &= \frac{2A_r r^6}{\log^2 K} \int_1^K \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1 x_2}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K / x_1 x_2 x}{\log K}\right) \\ &\quad \times f_2\left(\frac{\log K / x_1 x_2 x}{\log K}\right) (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &= \frac{2A_r r^6}{\log^2 K} \int_1^K \log x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K / x_1 x}{\log K}\right) f_2\left(\frac{\log K / x_1 x}{\log K}\right) (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} \\ &+ O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

By variable changes $u = 1 - \frac{\log x_1}{\log K}$, $v = 1 - \frac{\log x_1 x}{\log K}$,

$$\begin{aligned} D_2 &= 2A_r r^6 (\log K)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u) \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_1(v) f_2(v) dv du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\ &= 2A_r r^6 (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u) \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_1(v) f_2(v) dv du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where the constant of O is decided by r, ϵ and f_1, f_2 . It's easy to find (see Lemma 8 of [7])

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 \sum_{q_1 q_2 | k} \mu^2(q_1 q_2) \log q_1 \log q_2 \\ &= \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \log p_4 \\ &+ 4 \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3) \log^2 p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 + 2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

By this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3 &= \sum_{k \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)^2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \log p_4}{\log^4 K} \\
&\quad + 4 \sum_{k \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)^2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3) \log^2 p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{\log^4 K} \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{k \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)^2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2}{\log^4 K} \\
&= D_{31} + D_{32} + D_{33}
\end{aligned}$$

with obvious meanings. Similarly to D_2 , interchanging the summations, by (3.2), Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{31} &= \frac{r^8}{\log^4 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \log p_4}{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k \leq K/p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 k}{\log K}\right)^2 + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{A_r r^{10}}{\log^4 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \log p_4}{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4}} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{A_r r^{10}}{6 \log^4 K} \int_1^K \log^3 x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/x_1 x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\
&= \frac{1}{6} r^{10} A_r (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^3 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v)^2 dv du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned}$$

with variable changes $u = 1 - \frac{\log x_1}{\log K}$, $v = 1 - \frac{\log x_1 x}{\log K}$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{32} &= \frac{4r^6}{\log^4 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3) \log^2 p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{p_1 p_2 p_3} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k \leq K/p_1 p_2 p_3} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 p_3 k}{\log K}\right)^2 + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{4A_r r^8}{\log^4 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3) \log^2 p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{p_1 p_2 p_3} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2 p_3}} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 p_3 x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{2A_r r^8}{3 \log^4 K} \int_1^K \log^3 x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/x_1 x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\
&= \frac{2}{3} r^8 A_r (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^3 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v)^2 dv du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{33} &= \frac{2r^4}{\log^4 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2}{p_1 p_2} \sum_{k \leq K/p_1 p_2} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k}{\log K}\right)^2 \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{2A_r r^6}{\log^4 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2}{p_1 p_2} \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2}} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{A_r r^6}{3 \log^4 K} \int_1^K \log^3 x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/x_1 x}{\log K}\right)^2 (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\
&= \frac{1}{3} r^6 A_r (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^3 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v)^2 dv du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_3 &= A_r (\log T)^{r^2} \left(\frac{1}{6} r^{10} + \frac{2}{3} r^8 + \frac{1}{3} r^6 \right) \int_0^1 (1-u)^3 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v)^2 dv du \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where the constant of O is decided by r , ϵ and f_2 .

We now evaluate the numerator in the ratio of sums in the definition of $h(c)$. If we let

$$N(c) = \sum_{nk \leq K} a_k a_{nk} g_c(n) \Lambda(n) n^{-1/2},$$

then a straightforward argument shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
N(c) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{nk \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)d_r(nk)\Lambda(n)}{kn \log n} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log n}{\log T}) (f_1(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/nk}{\log K}) \\
&\quad + f_1(\frac{\log K/nk}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} \\
&\quad + f_1(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/nk}{\log K}) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | nk} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} \\
&\quad + f_2(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/nk}{\log K}) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{q_1 q_2 | nk} \frac{\mu^2(q_1 q_2) \log q_1 \log q_2}{\log^2 K}) \\
&= N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4
\end{aligned}$$

with the obvious meaning. By the familiar distribution of $\Lambda(n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{nk \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)d_r(nk)\Lambda(n)}{kn \log n} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log n}{\log T}) f_1(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/nk}{\log K}) \\
&= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{pk \leq K} \frac{d_r(k)d_r(pk)}{kp} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) f_1(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/pk}{\log K}) + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{2r}{\pi} \sum_{p \leq K} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T})}{p} \sum_{k \leq K/p} \frac{d_r(k)^2}{k} f_1(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/pk}{\log K}) + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3 and Abel summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \frac{2A_r r^3}{\pi} \sum_{p \leq K} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T})}{p} \int_1^{\frac{K}{p}} f_1(\frac{\log K/x}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/px}{\log K}) (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

From Lemma 2.1 and Abel summation,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \frac{2A_r r^3}{\pi} \int_1^K \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log x_1}{\log T})}{x_1 \log x_1} dx_1 \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_1(\frac{\log K/x}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/xx_1}{\log K}) (\log x)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx}{x} \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Interchanging the order of integration, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \frac{2A_r r^3}{\pi} \int_1^K f_1(\frac{\log K/x_1}{\log K}) (\log x_1)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log x}{\log T})}{\log x} f_1(\frac{\log K/xx_1}{\log K}) \frac{dx}{x} \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $u = 1 - \frac{\log x_1}{\log K}$, $v = \frac{\log x}{\log K}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_1 &= \frac{2A_r r^3}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r^2-1} f_1(u) \int_0^u \frac{\sin(\pi c v \frac{\log K}{\log T})}{v} f_1(u-v) dv du \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\
&= \frac{2A_r r^3}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^{r^2-1} f_1(u) \int_0^u \frac{\sin(\pi c v)}{v} f_1(u-v) dv du \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where the constant of O is decided by r , ϵ and f_1 . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2 &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{pk \leq K} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) \frac{d_r(k) d_r(kp)}{kp} f_1(\frac{\log K/pk}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\
&= \frac{2r^5}{\pi \log^2 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{p_1 p_2} \sum_{pk \leq K/p_1 p_2} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) d_r(k)^2}{pk} \\
&\quad \times f_1(\frac{\log K/pp_1 p_2 k}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k}{\log K}) + O((\log T)^{r^2-1})
\end{aligned}$$

From the calculation of N_1 , we know the inner sum in the main term of the last expression of N_2 is

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_r r^2 \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2}} f_2(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 x_1}{\log K}) (\log x_1)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \\
&\times \int_1^{\frac{K}{p_1 p_2 x_1}} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log x}{\log T})}{\log x} f_1(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 x x_1}{\log K}) \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Employing this and by (3.2), an argument similar to D_2 shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2 &= \frac{2A_r r^7}{\pi (\log K)^2} \int_1^K \log x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_2(\frac{\log K/x_1 x_2}{\log K}) (\log x_2)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \\
&\quad \times \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1 x_2}} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log x}{\log T})}{\log x} f_1(\frac{\log K/x x_1 x_2}{\log K}) \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by variable changes $u = 1 - \frac{\log x_1}{\log K}$, $v = 1 - \frac{\log x_1 x_2}{\log K}$, $w = \frac{\log x}{\log K}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_2 &= \frac{2A_r r^7}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u) \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v) \int_0^v \frac{\sin(\pi c w)}{w} f_1(v-w) dw dv du \\
&\quad + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where the constant of O is decided by r, ϵ and f_1, f_2 . Still,

$$N_3 = \frac{2}{\pi \log^2 K} \sum_{pk \leq K} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) \frac{d_r(k) d_r(kp)}{kp} f_1(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/pk}{\log K}) \\ \times \sum_{p_1 p_2 | pk} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).$$

A simple calculation shows that

$$\sum_{p_1 p_2 | pk} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 = \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 + 2 \log p \sum_{p_1 | k} \log p_1, \quad (3.8)$$

for $(p, k) = 1$. For those items with $(p, k) \neq 1$ in N_3 contribute $O((\log T)^{r^2-1})$ at most, therefore, we can employ this in the main term of the expression of N_3 and denote that $N_3 = N_{31} + N_{32} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1})$, where

$$N_{31} = \frac{2r^5}{\pi \log^2 K} \sum_{p_1 p_2 \leq K} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{p_1 p_2} \sum_{pk \leq K/p_1 p_2} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) d_r(k)^2}{pk} \\ \times f_2(\frac{\log K/pp_1 p_2 k}{\log K}) f_1(\frac{\log K/p_1 p_2 k}{\log K}) + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\ = \frac{2A_r r^7}{\pi (\log K)^2} \int_1^K \log x_1 \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_1(\frac{\log K/x_1 x_2}{\log K}) (\log x_2)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \\ \times \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1 x_2}} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log x}{\log T})}{\log x} f_2(\frac{\log K/xx_1 x_2}{\log K}) \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\ = \frac{2A_r r^7}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u) \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_1(v) \\ \times \int_0^v \frac{\sin(\pi cw)}{w} f_2(v-w) dw dv du + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),$$

and

$$N_{32} = \frac{4r^3}{\pi \log^2 K} \sum_{p_1 \leq K} \frac{\log p_1}{p_1} \sum_{pk \leq K/p_1} \frac{\sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) d_r(k)^2 \log p}{pk} f_2(\frac{\log K/pp_1 k}{\log K}) \\ \times f_1(\frac{\log K/p_1 k}{\log K}) + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}) \\ = \frac{4A_r r^5}{\pi (\log K)^2} \int_1^K \frac{dx_1}{x_1} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1}} f_1(\frac{\log K/x_1 x_2}{\log K}) (\log x_2)^{r^2-1} \frac{dx_2}{x_2} \int_1^{\frac{K}{x_1 x_2}} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log x}{\log T}) \\ \times f_2(\frac{\log K/xx_1 x_2}{\log K}) \frac{dx}{x} + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}) \\ = \frac{4A_r r^5}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_1(v) \int_0^v \sin(\pi cw) f_2(v-w) dw dv du \\ + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),$$

So, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N_3 = & \frac{2A_r r^7}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u) \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_1(v) \int_0^v \frac{\sin(\pi c w)}{w} f_2(v-w) dw dv du \\
& + \frac{4A_r r^5}{\pi} (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_1(v) \int_0^v \sin(\pi c w) f_2(v-w) dw dv du \\
& + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where the constant of O is decided by r , ϵ and f_1 , f_2 . Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
N_4 = & \frac{2}{\pi \log^4 K} \sum_{pk \leq K} \sin(\pi c \frac{\log p}{\log T}) \frac{d_r(k) d_r(kp)}{kp} f_2(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}) f_2(\frac{\log K/pk}{\log K}) \\
& \times \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 \sum_{q_1 q_2 | pk} \mu^2(q_1 q_2) \log q_1 \log q_2 + O((\log T)^{r^2-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and (3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2 \sum_{q_1 q_2 | pk} \mu^2(q_1 q_2) \log q_1 \log q_2 \\
= & \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3 p_4) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 \log p_4 \\
& + 4 \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3) \log^2 p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 + 2 \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log^2 p_1 \log^2 p_2 \\
& + 2 \log p \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2 p_3) \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3 + 4 \log p \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log^2 p_1 \log p_2,
\end{aligned}$$

for $(k, p) = 1$. Hence, an argument as before shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
N_4 = & \frac{A_r}{\pi} (\frac{1}{3} r^{11} + \frac{4}{3} r^9 + \frac{2}{3} r^7) (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^3 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v) \\
& \times \int_0^v \frac{\sin(\pi c w)}{w} f_2(v-w) dw dv du \\
& + \frac{A_r}{\pi} (2r^9 + 4r^7) (\log T)^{r^2} \int_0^1 (1-u)^2 \int_0^u (u-v)^{r^2-1} f_2(v) \\
& \times \int_0^v \sin(\pi c w) f_2(v-w) dw dv du \\
& + O((\log T)^{r^2-1+\epsilon}),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where the constant of O is decided by r , ϵ and f_2 . Consequently, we find that

$$h(c) = c - \frac{N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4}{D_1 + D_2 + D_3},$$

where $D_1 - D_3$, $N_1 - N_4$ are given by (3.1), (3.3), (3.5)-(3.7), (3.9), (3.10). Choosing $r = 2.6$ and $f_1(x) = -3.54 - 42.94x + 88.05x^2 - 34.33x^3$, $f_2(x) = 4.56 + 63.02x +$

$42.72x^2 + 34.45x^3$, we obtain (by a numerical calculation) that $h(2.7327) < 1$ when T is sufficiently large. This provides the lower bound for λ in Theorem 1.1.

Since $\lambda(n)^2 = 1$ and $\lambda(np) = -\lambda(n)$ for every $n \in N$ and every prime p , we can evaluate $h(c)$ with the coefficient

$$a_k = \frac{\lambda(k)d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) + \frac{\lambda(k)d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\mu^2(p_1 p_2) \log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right)$$

as before. Here, as above, f_1, f_2 are polynomials. With this choice of coefficient, we obtain that

$$h(c) = c + \frac{N_1 + N_2 + N_3 + N_4}{D_1 + D_2 + D_3},$$

where $D_1 - D_3, N_1 - N_4$ are given by (3.1), (3.3), (3.5)-(3.7), (3.9), (3.10). Choosing $r = 1.18$ and $f_1(x) = 1.25 + 0.95x + 2.07x^2 - 2.21x^3$, $f_2(x) = 0.7 + 1.92x$, we obtain (by a numerical calculation) that $h(0.5154) > 1$ when T is sufficiently large. This provides the lower bound for μ in Theorem 1.1.

It's worth to remark that we may generalize the coefficient to

$$\begin{aligned} a_k = & \frac{d_r(k)}{k^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(f_1\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) + f_2\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 | k} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2}{\log^2 K} \right. \\ & + f_3\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 p_3 | k} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3}{\log^3 K} + \dots \\ & \left. + f_I\left(\frac{\log K/k}{\log K}\right) \sum_{p_1 p_2 \dots p_I | k} \frac{\log p_1 \log p_2 \dots \log p_I}{\log^I K} \right), \end{aligned}$$

for any integer $I \geq 2$. There is no problem to calculate $h(c)$ with this coefficient. However, the numerical calculation doesn't seem like there is much to gain by increasing any more item of the coefficient we choose.

References

- [1] Bui, H. M. "Large gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function." Available on the arXiv at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0903.4007>.
- [2] Bui, H. M., M. B. Milinovich and N. Ng, "A note on the gaps between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function." Available on the arXiv at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0910.2052>.
- [3] Conrey, J. B., A. Ghosh and S. M. Gonek, "A note on gaps between zeros of the zeta function." *Bull. London. Math. Soc* 16 (1984): 421-424.
- [4] Conrey, J. B., A. Ghosh and S. M. Gonek, "Large gaps between zeros of the zeta-function." *Mathematika* 33 (1986): 212-238.

- [5] Conrey, J. B., and H. Iwaniec, "Spacing of zeros of Hecke L -functions and the class number problem." *Acta. Arith* 103, no. 3, (2002): 259-312.
- [6] Feng, S. "A note on the zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function near the critical line." *Acta Arith* 120, no. 1, (2005): 59-68.
- [7] Feng, S. "zeros of the Riemann zeta function on the critical line." to appear.
- [8] Garaev, M. Z., and C. Y. Yildirim. "On small distances between ordinates of zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and $\zeta'(s)$." *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, no. 21 (2007): 1-14.
- [9] Gonek, S. M. "Analytic properties of zeta and L -functions." Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. Michigan, Ann Arbor, Mich., 1979.
- [10] Hall, R. R. "A new unconditional result about large spaces between zeta zeros." *Mathematika* 52 (2005): 101-113.
- [11] Ki, Haseo. "The zeros of the derivative of the Riemann zeta function near the critical line." *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, no. 16 (2008): Art. Id rnn064, 23pp.
- [12] Levinson, N. "More than one third of zeros of the Riemann's zeta-function are on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$." *Adv. Math* 13 (1974): 383-436.
- [13] Montgomery, H. L. "The pair correlation of the zeros of the zeta function." Proc. Symp. Pure math 24, A.M.S., Providence 1973: 181-193.
- [14] Montgomery, H. L., and A. M. Odlyzko, "Gaps between zeros of the Riemann zeta-function." Coll. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai 34. Topics in Classical Number Theory, Budapest, 1981.
- [15] Montgomery, H. L., and P. J. Weinberger, "Notes on small class numbers." *Acta. Arith* (1974): 529-542.
- [16] Mueller, J. "On the difference between consecutive zeros of the Riemann zeta-function." *J. Number. Theory* 14 (1982): 327-331.
- [17] Ng, N. "Large gaps between the zeros of the Riemann zeta function." *J. Number Theory* 128 (2008): 509-556.
- [18] Selberg, A. "The zeta-function and the Riemann Hypothesis." Skandinaviske Matematikerkongres 10 (1946): 187-200.
- [19] Soundararajan, K. "The horizontal distribution of zeros of $\zeta'(s)$." *Duke Math. J* 91 (1998): 33-59.
- [20] Titchmarsh, E. C. The theory of the Riemann zeta-function. revised by D. R. HeathBrown, Clarendon Press, Oxford, second edition, 1986.
- [21] Zhang, Y. "On the zeros of $\zeta'(s)$ near the critical line." *Duke Math. J* 110 (2001): 555-72.